



GSA Great Lakes Region

April 8, 2010

Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc.
 Ms. Rebecca Greco, Principal, Vice President
 701 Washington Avenue North,
 Minneapolis, MN 55401

SUBJECT: Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architectural and Engineering Services, New Land Port of Entry at the Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan

Dear Ms. Greco:

Your revised proposal dated April 8, 2010 in the amount of \$1,980,354.30 for the subject project is accepted for award. This price includes the following:

- Base Contract: \$ 791,263.03
- Option I: \$1,189,091.27
- Option II: \$ 832,918.82 - Price accepted but not awarded at this time.
- ALTA: Not included

Accordingly, enclosed is Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002. This task order number will be utilized from this point forward until project completion. The Pegasys Document Number (PDN) for the project is PJ0E00978. This number along with the task order number must be referenced on all payment requests. Payments will be issued from our GSA Finance Office in Ft. Worth, TX. A copy of your invoice should also be forwarded to our office, prior to mailing the original invoice to Ft. Worth. This allows us time to certify receipt of services and approve payment.

Upon receipt of this letter, please contact me as your GSA Security Sponsor for this contract and provide a Contractor security clearance point-of-contact for contractor (and subcontractor) employees. This individual will be responsible for ensuring timely submittal and accuracy of security clearance applications. He or she will also have the responsibility of monitoring and tracking all security clearance submissions. The GSA Security Sponsor will send additional forms and instructions regarding the security clearance process to the Contractor point-of-contact via email.

Submittals due to the GSA Security Sponsor within 14 days of receipt of this letter include:

- A list of contractor employees for whom you are seeking security clearances for, including their position on the contract,
- Necessary security clearance documents for short term and long term employees, as applicable

Compliance with the security clearance process will be a factor assessed in the Government's evaluation of contractor performance. Failure to submit security clearance documents may result in the contract being terminated for default.

Mr. Donald Melcher will be the Project Manager and is responsible for managing the entire project. He can be reached at 312.353.1237 or via e-mail at Donald.melcher@gsa.gov. The Project Manager will be responsible for, but not be limited to: convening meetings; coordinating with other Government agencies and GSA organizations; approving the work to assure contract compliance; monitoring contract progress; providing technical direction and assistance to the contractor; reviewing and authorizing payment requests; reviewing claims of delay and recommending extensions of time; determining the need for changes in the work; and receiving and reviewing contractor's claims. The Project Manager does not have the authority to make changes to or modify your contract. All changes must receive prior authorization from the Contracting Officer.

U.S. General Services Administration
 230 South Dearborn Street
 Chicago, IL 60604-1696
www.gsa.gov

I will serve as the Contracting Officer with overall responsibility for administering this contract. I alone without delegation am authorized to take actions on behalf of the Government: interpret, amend, modify or deviate from the contract terms, conditions, requirements, specifications or details; approve progress schedules; issue stop work orders; issue final decisions regarding contractor claims or issues under dispute; and terminate the contract for the convenience of the Government or for default.

A Notice to Proceed will be issued at a later date. In addition, I will need to delete the requirement for estimating service in the base contract.

We appreciate your efforts in getting this contract awarded and we look forward to a successful project. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

Chenise Whitehead
Contracting Officer
Land Ports of Entry Service Center

Enclosures

ORDER FOR SUPPLIES AND SERVICES		NOTE: MARK ALL PACKAGES WITH ORDER NUMBER/CONTRACT NUMBER	PAGE 1 OF 30 PAGES
1. DATE OF ORDER APR 08, 2010	2. ORDER NUMBER GS-P-05-10-GC-5002	3. CONTRACT NUMBER GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028	4. ACT NUMBER PJ0E00978

IMPORTANT:



- * This form is not to be used as an invoice. See reverse for invoice requirements and payment information.
- * The invoice remit to address must be the same as Block 12. Notify the contracting/ordering officer if the information in Block 12 is incorrect.
- * Failure to show the ACT number (Block 4) on invoice will delay payment and render the invoice improper.
- * Failure to mail invoice to address in Block 24 will delay payment.
- * Failure of service contractors to provide information in Block 9A will result in 20% of payment being withheld (26 U.S.C. 3406(a)).

7. TO: CONTRACTOR (Name, address and zip code) 00013131 HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. 701 WASHINGTON AVE NORTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 554011180 KEVIN FLYNN 612-758-4000	DUNS: (b) (4)	8. TYPE OF ORDER <input type="checkbox"/> A. PURCHASE Please furnish the following on the terms specified on both sides of the order and the attached sheets, if any, including <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B. DELIVERY This delivery order is subject to instructions contained on this side only of this form and is issued subject to the terms and conditions of the above numbered contract. C. MODIFICATION NO. _____ AUTHORITY FOR ISSUING _____
---	---------------	--

9A. EMPLOYER'S IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (b) (4)	9B. CHECK, IF APPROPRIATE <input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD 20%	Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the original order, as heretofore modified, remain unchanged.
10A. CLASSIFICATION <input type="checkbox"/> A. SMALL BUSINESS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B. OTHER THAN SMALL BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/> C. SMALL DISADVANTAGED <input type="checkbox"/> D. SMALL WOMEN-OWNED	10B. TYPE OF BUSINESS ORGANIZATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. CORPORATION <input type="checkbox"/> B. PARTNER-SHIP <input type="checkbox"/> C. SOLE	11. ISSUING OFFICE (Address, zip code.) GC000 LAND PORTS OF ENTRY SERVICE CENTER 230 S. DEARBORN ST. SUITE 3600 CHICAGO IL 60604
12. REMITTANCE ADDRESS (MANDATORY) 00013131 HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. SDS 12-1861 P.O. BOX 86 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55486	13. SHIP TO (Consignee address, zip code.) LAND PORTS OF ENTRY DIVISION 230 S. DEARBORN ST. SUITE 3600 CHICAGO IL 60604	

14. PLACE OF INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE Same as block 13	15. REQUISITION OFFICE (Name, symbol and telephone no.) 5PSL
16. F.O.B. POINT Destination	17. GOVERNMENT B/L NO.
18. DELIVERY F.O.B. POINT ON OR BEFORE APR 30, 2011	19. PAYMENT/DISCOUNT TERMS 0 Days 0% Net 30

20. SCHEDULE					
ITEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY ORDERED (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
	Effective Date: APR 08, 2010 See Continuation Page for Line Item Details				

21. RECEIVING OFFICE (Name, symbol and telephone no.) Donald Melcher - 5PSL - 312.353.1237	TOTAL FROM 300-A(s) 	\$1,980,354.30
22. SHIPPING POINT	23. GROSS SHIP WT.	GRAND TOTAL 
24. MAIL INVOICE TO: (Include zip code) BCFA General Services Administration (FUND) PBS PAYMENTS BRANCH P.O. BOX 17181 FORT WORTH TX 76102-0181	25A. FOR INQUIRIES REGARDING PAYMENT CONTACT: Donald Melcher	25B. TELEPHONE NO. 312/353-1237
	26A. NAME OF CONTRACTING/ORDERING OFFICER (Type) Cherise Whitehead (G2) (b) (6)	26B. TELEPHONE NO. 312/353-2497

SCHEDULE Continued

ITEM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE \$	AMOUNT \$
	<p>Accounting and Appropriation Data: PJ0E00978.2010.192X.05.PG61.P0550001.954.PG121.RMI00315.MI0406ZZ.001. Cost Applied: \$1,980,354.30</p> <p>GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028 / GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architect and Engineer Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry at the Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan</p> <p>This order is issued against the Region 7 Land Ports of Entry Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract and incorporates the attached Scope of Work dated March 17, 2010.</p> <p>Your revised proposal dated April 8, 2010 is accepted for award and includes the following items:</p> <p>Base: \$791,263.03</p> <p>Option 1: \$1,189,091.27</p> <p>The price for Option II: Final Concept Design and Presentation is accepted in the amount of \$832,918.82, but not awarded at this time. The government reserves the unilateral right to exercise this option up to 60 days prior to completion of Option I.</p> <p>The line item price for the ALTA Survey is not awarded at this time.</p> <p>Advanced approval of travel must be approved in writing by the COR. Reimbursement of travel and other direct costs shall be supported by receipts and shall not exceed the totals shown on the GSA Form 2630's.</p> <p>Do not proceed with any work until the Notice to Proceed is issued.</p> <p>Architect/Engineer Pre-Design Services</p>				
0001	Base: Pre-Programming Services	1.00	LS	791,263.03	791,263.03
0006	Option I: Preliminary Concept Designs and Presentation	1.00	LS	1,189,091.27	1,189,091.27



U.S. GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
Region 5

**PUBLIC BUILDINGS SERVICE
LAND PORTS OF ENTRY SERVICE CENTER
John C. Kluczynski Federal Building
Chicago, IL**

**Task Order: GS-P-05-10-GC-5002
Award Date: 04.08.10**

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SCOPE OF WORK

**Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry
Detroit River International Crossing
Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan**

I. General.....	5
A. Project Scope: Overview.....	5
B. Background	5
C. Goals And Objectives	6
C.1. Project Goals.....	6
C.2 Project Objectives	8
D. Budget	8
E. A/E Project Management Responsibilities.....	9
E.1. Project Progress Meetings.....	9
E.2. Minutes	9
E.3. Response to GSA and Tenant Review Comments.....	9
E.4. Travel.....	9
E.5. Reproduction and Delivery Costs.....	9
E.6. Contracting Officer Authority	9
F. Definitions and Authorities.....	9
F.1. Program of Requirements	9
F.2. Estimated Cost of Construction at Award (ECCA)	10
F.3. Commissioner of the Public Buildings Service (PBS).....	10
F.4. Office of the Chief Architect (OCA)	10
F.5. General Office Space	10
F.6. Office Space Programming and Planning.....	10
F.7. Program Reconciliation Reports	10
F.8. Office Space Plan.....	10
F.9. Core/Shell	10
F.10. Tenant Improvements	10
F.11. General Massing Program	10
G. Glossary of Terms	10
G.1. Architect/Engineer (A/E)	10
G.2. Contracting Officer (CO).....	10
G.3. Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) / Design Coordinator	10
G.4. Lead Project Manager (Lead PM)	11
G.5. GSA Regional Account Manager.....	11
G.6. GSA Project Review Team	11
G.7. GSA Supervisory Property Manager	11
G.8. GSA Region 5, Great Lakes Region	11
G.9. GSA Central Office	11
G.10. Supplemental Services	11
G.11. Federal Inspection Services (FIS)	11
G.12. Tenant Agency Representatives	11
H. A/E Fee(s).....	11

I. Regulating Documents and References	12
I.1. U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard, latest edition and supplements	12
I.2. Feasibility Study prepared by M. Arthur Gensler, Jr. & Associates, Inc. ("Gensler").....	12
I.3. GSA Public Buildings Service Pricing Desk Guide - Edition 3 or latest version	12
J. GSA Specific Requirements.....	12
K. Submittals for Review... ..	12
K.1. Copy Requirements.....	12
K.2. Project Participants.....	12
K.3. GSA Submittal Requirements	13
L. LEED Requirements.....	14
M. Design Excellence	14
M.1. Guiding Principals of Federal Architecture	14
M.2. Cost-effective Design.....	14
M.3. Functionality Objectives.....	14
M.4. Peer Review and Commissioner Approval	14
II. Project Schedule	14
III. Pre-Programming / Initial Programming Services	15
A. Standard Services and Responsibilities	15
A.1. General Responsibilities.....	15
A.2. Services to be Provided	15
A.3. Record Keeping and Progress Reports	15
B. General Design Requirements	16
B.1. A/E Preparation and Submissions	16
B.2. Innovative Design	16
B.3. Adherence to Federal Regulations	16
B.4. Construction Cost Estimate	16
C. Disciplines and Submissions	16
C.1. Design Services	16
C.2. Submissions	17
C.3. Completeness of Documentation	17
D. Design Process	17
D.1. Pre-design Meeting	17
D.2. Data Gathering	17
D.3. Site Analysis/Master Site Plan	18
D.4. Programming.....	19
D.5. Space Planning	20
D.6. Preliminary Concept Design	20
D.7. Peer Review (# 1)	23
D.8. Final Concept	23
D.9. Peer Review (# 2).....	25
D.10. Final Concept Design Presentation	25
D.11. Office of Chief Architect / Public Buildings Service Commissioner Approval	25

IV. Fee and Payment Schedule	25
A. Contract Services	26
A.1. Phase 1: Site Analysis	26
A.2. Phase 2: Programming / Space Planing	26
A.3. Phase 3: Preliminary Concept Design	26
A.4. Phase 4: Final Concept Design	26
B. Release of Claims	26
C. Payment of Direct Cost	26
D. Travel	26

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: New U.S. Land Port of Entry
LOCATION: Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan

PROJECT NUMBER: To Be Determined

Estimated Construction Cost at Award (ECCA):

- Operating Lease Project: \$150,811,321
- Capital Improvement Project: \$266,292,185
(Does not include Project Contingency)

I. General

A. Project Scope: Overview

All design work product developed as a result of this scope of services is property of the General Services Administration (GSA). The A/E will provide professional pre-design services through concept design submittals. The new U.S. Land Port of Entry will be located in the Delray community of southwest Detroit. MDOT will acquire approximately 168 acres of land in a combination of parcels from private owners, the State of Michigan, and the City of Detroit.

Contract scope of work will consist of the following phases, working in coordination with GSA, MDOT, Federal Highway and the Federal Inspection Services (FIS) tenant agencies:

- Base: Pre-Programming Services
- Option I: Preliminary Concept Designs & Presentation
- Option II: Final Concept Design & Presentation

B. Background

The Detroit River International Crossing (DRIC) project proposes a new border crossing system over the Detroit River, the busiest commercial international border crossing area in North America. The DRIC project in its entirety includes a new freeway between Highway 401 in Canada, a new Canadian inspection plaza, a new bridge over the Detroit River, a new U.S. federal inspection plaza, and a new interchange to Interstate 75. The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and Government of Canada agencies are conducting the Detroit River International Crossing Study, a bi-national effort to identify solutions to enhance the national and regional economies and provide for the safe, efficient, and secure movement of goods and people along the busiest commercial corridor between the United States and Canada. They seek to identify solutions that support the national, regional and local economies while addressing civil and national defense and homeland security needs of this trade corridor and to provide redundant crossing options and resiliency at the border.

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, a Draft Environmental Impact Study (DEIS) was published in February 2008, and the Final Environmental Impact Study (FEIS) was released for public review and comment in December. The Record of Decision identifying the Preferred Alternative for a new crossing system was signed by the Federal Highway Administration on January 14, 2009.

The Federal Inspection Services (FIS) plaza and I-75 interchange will be located in Southwest Detroit, about 2 miles west of Detroit's Central Business District in a neighborhood known as Delray. The site for the proposed inspection plaza is generally bounded by the CSX Railroad to

3/17/2010

the North, Campbell Street to the East, Jefferson Avenue to the South, and Post Street to the West.

The FIS agencies to be located at this new Land Port of Entry (LPOE) include:

- U.S. Department of Homeland Security - Customs and Border Protection (CBP)
- U.S. Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service – Veterinary Services (VS)
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services - Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

GSA intends to execute an operating lease for the FIS plaza and facilities. GSA plans to pursue a lease prospectus for the LPOE. MDOT will acquire the properties, clear and prepare the site, develop the facilities of the FIS plaza as well as other facilities, such as toll collection and duty free. However, the option to submit a Capital Improvement Project (i.e., federally owned FIS plaza) remains a possibility.

A detailed study (Land Port of Entry Feasibility Study) to identify the scope of the project was completed in February 2009 and revised for re-submission in December 2009. Copies will be available to facilitate the design process. The study contains design alternatives and directives which shall be assessed and validated at the appropriate level and be implemented to the maximum practical extent.

Construction of a new facility of approximately 254,676 occupiable square feet (osf) is required in order to provide a modern and efficient port-of-entry facility, and to provide necessary space and facilities for the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), Food and Drug Administrations (FDA), US Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service – Veterinary Services (VS), and a small GSA office. The facility will include the operational center/inspection facility, administrative, canopies for secondary and primary inspections, inspection booths, and associated facility support spaces. The federal inspections services plaza encompasses approximately 80 acres within the larger 168 acre U.S. bridge plaza.

C. Goals and Objectives

C.1. Project Goals

- To improve the flow of traffic through the POE while providing safe, functional and efficient operations for both the inspection agencies and the traveling public.
- To coordinate with the General Services Administration (GSA), U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), and other tenant Federal Inspection Services (FIS) to review program requirements and implementation actions.
- To design a new landmark U.S. Port of Entry that in form, scale, and context represents the dignity of the Federal Government as outlined in GSA Design Excellence Program.
- To design a facility that responds to the needs and infrastructure of the surrounding community, including those of Canada.
- To design the station in accordance with the latest edition of the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and other FIS design requirements, allowing for logical growth and expansion.
- To design the Port within the time frame and budget constraints established by the Federal Government.
- To design the project in compliance with the applicable safety and environmental requirements of federal, state, county, and city agencies.
- To provide maximum energy efficiency throughout the facility in accordance with Executive Order 13123, "Greening the Government Through Efficient Energy

3/17/2010

Management".

- To create a concept that is able to obtain a LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Building certification as required in P 100, with a goal of Silver rating.
- To promote efficient space utilization throughout the facility.
- To provide a facility that is accessible and usable by the physically disabled and complies with the requirements of the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standard (ABAAS).
- To provide a facility with flexible infrastructure capable of adapting to changing technology.

Additional Project Goals

A) Overall Goals

- Provide redundancy for the safe, efficient and secure movement of goods and people across the busiest commercial border crossing between the U.S. and Canada, thereby increasing crossing options, reducing traffic, and ensuring security and minimizing service interruption in case of emergency.
- Offer appropriate welcome and first impression.
- Enhance the national and regional economies.

B) Buildings and Site

- Provide flexibility to allow new technologies to be readily installed at the port.
- Assess flexibility and expansion opportunities in both site and building designs.
- Consider weather elements and facility orientation for outdoor inspection activities of passenger vehicles, pedestrians and commercial cargo out of the weather.
- Provide adequate ambient lighting at vehicle inspection areas throughout the plaza. Maximize opportunities for ambient lighting within interior workspaces.
- Minimize outdoor light pollution/adverse impacts on neighboring community.
- Review and validate the preliminary space program and fully update tenant agency space requirements.
- Determine and document joint-use and facility support requirements.
- Comply with all GSA required codes, and standards.

C) Sustainability

- Comply fully with all GSA required standards, including the GSA PBS Facilities Standards (P100).
- Achieve, at a minimum, a "Certified" rating under the U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED®) Green Building Rating System, with higher rating levels encouraged.
- Meet, at a minimum, the energy standard prescribed in ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2004 (EPACT).
- Achieve an energy consumption level of at least 30 percent below the 2004 ASHRAE Standard 90.1.
- Perform life cycle cost analysis of all practical energy sources, and practical, cost effective use of renewable energy technologies.
- To the maximum extent practicable, use advanced meters or advanced metering devices that provide data at least daily and that measure at least hourly consumption of purchased fuels and energy.
- Apply water conservation technologies to the extent that the technologies are life-cycle cost-effective.
- Apply sustainable design principles to the siting, design, and construction of the plaza.

- Ensure that new agency buildings comply with the Guiding Principles for Federal Leadership in High-performance and Sustainable Buildings set forth in the Federal Leadership in High-performance and Sustainable Buildings MOU (Executive Order 13423, "Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management" 2006).
- D) Circulation
 - Analyze pedestrian and vehicular traffic patterns showing predominant arrival and departure points and peak hour circulation patterns.
 - Identify future expansion possibilities, including equipment and lane additions/expansions.
 - Identify supporting infrastructure required (roadways, bridges) along with responsible entity/entities.
 - Ensure safe and efficient flow of all modes of traffic.
- E) Commercial
 - Process commercial traffic without interrupting trade.
 - Allow for timeliness and ease of entry and exit, whereby traffic refused at the U.S. border may safely transport goods back to Canada (especially livestock).
- F) Non-commercial
 - Process/accommodate Privately Owned Vehicles (POV) crossing in a timely manner.
 - Accommodate pedestrian and bicycle crossings effectively. (Pending pedestrian crossing determination).
- G) Budget
 - Balance the first cost determined with the operating costs to provide an efficient facility.
 - Determine a plan for how and when the total budget should be financed, based on the delivery method, implementation plan, and project delivery schedule.
- H) Community
 - Discuss needs assessment for other stakeholders.
 - Coordinate FIS plaza design development in conjunction with MDOT's transportation facilities, ancillary site features and community redevelopment activities.

C.2. Project Objectives

- Review program requirements and project budget provided by the Government with respect to chosen site, Detroit River International Crossing Land Port of Entry Feasibility Study, December 2009 ("Feasibility Study"), the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and other FIS design requirements, and the aforementioned Project Goals.
- Provide a minimum of three (3) preliminary conceptual design schemes, each must be estimated separately and designed within the Estimated Construction Cost at Award (ECCA) range of \$150,811,321 – Note: This amount represents the cost of construction of the FIS plaza. All design submittals shall conform to this established budget.
- Based upon input from the DHS, GSA, and others, develop and submit a final concept design scheme, with a preliminary construction cost estimate.

D. Budget

Estimated Construction Cost at Award (ECCA) is approximately:

- Operating Lease Project: \$150,811,321
- Capital Improvement Project: \$266,292,185

(Does not include Project Contingency)

All design submittals shall conform to this established budget.

During Preliminary and Final Concepts, any overage as calculated by the Architect/Engineer (A/E) cost consultant must be reconciled with proposals for cost reduction within two weeks of the reported overage. The Government will provide direction based on the cost reduction report within two weeks of receipt of the A/E's proposals for reconciliation.

E. A/E Project Management Responsibilities

- E.1. **Project Progress Meetings:** The A/E will host project progress meetings with GSA at least monthly in Michigan. These meetings will be held in the Detroit, Michigan, Central Business District, in a location to be determined in advance of the meetings when the project begins. Meetings will also be held with primary tenant group heads monthly in Detroit, Michigan during the design process. These meetings may coincide with the project progress meetings.
- E.2. Minutes of all meetings with necessary Action Items and responsible parties must be provided to GSA no later than 7 calendar days after each meeting. Action reports will list all open items from previous meetings. The A/E will distribute meeting agendas with previous meetings minutes at least 2 days prior to all project design meetings.
- E.3. **Response to GSA and Tenant Review comments.** The A/E will respond to all design review comments provided by GSA and its tenants within 7 days of receipt of said comments. Response shall address each comment individually and shall reference applicable documentation as necessary. As part of the next submittal, the A/E will submit an annotated list, including each tenant's comment, the A/E's response, and a reference to the drawing(s) where each comment was specifically addressed. Comment report shall be bound and distributed to all project participants.
- E.4. An allowance of five (5) one (1) day meetings for travel necessary to obtain project site information, normal administration of the design contract shall be provided as part of this contract. Travel costs beyond normal administration of design shall be reimbursable at the Government per diem rate. Reimbursement will be limited to direct travel cost, i.e. lodging, airfare, etc.
- E.5. **Reproduction and Delivery costs necessary for execution of this concept design contract are included in this contract.** Shipping of the final model and all presentation materials to Washington, D.C. is covered under this contract.
- E.6. **Contracting Officer Authority:** The Contracting Officer has the overall responsibility for the administration of this contract. The Contracting Officer alone, without delegation, is authorized to take actions on behalf of the Government to amend, modify, or deviate from the contract terms, conditions, requirements, specifications, details and/or delivery schedules; make final decisions on disputed deductions from contract payments for nonperformance of unsatisfactory performance; terminate the contract for convenience or default; issue final decisions regarding contract questions or matters under dispute.

F. Definitions and Authorities

- F.1. **Program of Requirements:** Program information provided by GSA at the start of the project to serve as the preliminary requirements to allow conceptual massing design.

- F.2. Estimated Cost of Construction at Award (ECCA): The target construction contract award amount excluding construction contingencies.
- F.3. Commissioner of the Public Buildings Service (PBS): Presidential political appointee that heads the PBS office within GSA
- F.4. Office of the Chief Architect (OCA): The authority responsible for approving the concept design.
- F.5. General Office Space: All leasable interior office space within the proposed buildings. Refer to the Pricing Desk Guide for a complete definition of General Office Space vs. Core and Shell. GSA will provide a full description of this pricing system - along with examples.
- F.6. Office Space Programming and Planning: The detailed programming of "general office space" down to the smallest definable work unit, or office.
- F.7. Program Reconciliation Reports: Space take-offs by the smallest space unit possible for the submittal being referenced. For example, during Concepts, the report would document the actual versus planned tenant block. In Design Development and later, said report would address deviations between planned and actual work units, or offices.
- F.8. Office Space Plan: General Office Space layout based on the approved office program.
- F.9. Core/Shell: Refer to Pricing Desk Guide.
- F.10. Tenant Improvements: Refer to Pricing Desk Guide.
- F.11. General Massing Program: General program developed by A/E of general space blocks, (i.e., complete tenant groupings) for the purposes of developing credible massing studies prior to the completion of the detailed programming and layout of all office space by individual work units

G. Glossary of Terms

- G.1. Architect/ Engineer (A/E): The A/E is the Architect/Engineer firm that has executed this contract with the Government. A/E may also refer to or include both the prime A/E firm and the A/E's consultants or subcontractors.
- G.2. Contracting Officer (CO): The Contracting Officer has the overall responsibility for the administration of this contract. The Contracting Officer alone, without delegation, is authorized to take actions on behalf of the Government to amend, modify, or deviate from the contract terms, conditions, requirements, specifications, details and/or delivery schedules; make final decisions on disputed deductions from contract payments for nonperformance of unsatisfactory performance; terminate the contract for convenience or default; issue final decisions regarding contract questions or matters under dispute.
- G.3. Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) or Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR): The COR or COTR is a Government official appointed by the Contracting Officer to assist the Contracting Officer in the administration of a contract. When a COR is appointed under this contract, the responsibilities of the COR will be designated in writing and a copy of the appointment letter will be provided to the A/E.

- G.4. Project Manager (PM): The PM is an individual who manages a project for the Government. The PM is responsible for the overall coordination and is the primary contact with the A/E. The PM resolves issues concerning scope, cost, schedule, etc., obtains concurrences, monitors the quality of the services provided by the A/E, and assists the Contracting Officer in administering the contract with the A/E. The PM may be a Government employee or an employee of another firm under contract with GSA.
- G.5. GSA Regional Account Manager: GSA employee with a team of Project Specialists serving as a member of the GSA Project Team, and the point of contact for the tenant agency with knowledge of their needs and requirements.
- G.6. GSA Project Review Team: The GSA Project Review Team is comprised of design and asset management specialists who offer cursory owner's reviews of the technical and cost related aspects of the design submittal. The purpose of these reviews is to verify the design's compliance with GSA design standards, project budget funding, and this scope of work. This review does not relieve the A/E from its professional responsibility nor its responsibility to address technical and constructability review comments.
- G.7. GSA Supervisory Property Manager: GSA employee with a team of Assistant Property Managers responsible to the building clients for all building maintenance, repair, and daily operations requirements. This GSA group has a vested interest in all design and construction directives as they impact building operations.
- G.8. GSA Region 5, Great Lakes Region: GSA management and staff which include the Regional Commissioner, the Assistant Regional Commissioner, the various GSA, PBS Directors, Managers, Supervisors and staff that are responsible for all programs, budgets, operations and activities assigned to the region. At the core of this strategic operation is the goal of being a customer-driven organization that continually strengthens the organization to better serve our customers.
- G.9. GSA Central Office: GSA staff located in Washington D.C. serving as the central policy making entity of the agency and will have final design approval authority.
- G.10. Supplemental Services: Performance of additional services that may be required for which the Government will negotiate as a modification to the A/E contract.
- G.11. The Federal Inspection Services (FIS) agencies to be located at this new Land Port of Entry (LPOE) include:
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security - Customs and Border Protection (CBP)
 - U.S. Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service – Veterinary Services (VS)
 - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services - Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
- G.12. Tenant Agency Representatives: Designated spokesperson representing the interests of the occupying tenant agencies, to be contacted only through the GSA Lead Project Manager or the GSA Design Coordinator.

H. A/E Fee(s)

The A/E will submit a fee proposal for basic services including direct costs, outlined in this scope. Fee shall be broken down by the following:

- Base: Pre-programming Services
- Option I: Preliminary Concept Designs & Presentation
- Option II: Final Concept Design & Presentation

- I. Regulating Documents and References - All design work and related submittals will fully comply with Article II: Supplemental Documents outlined in the National IDIQ Zone III contract and the documents listed below, including, but not limited to:

I.1. U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard, latest edition and supplements.

I.2. Feasibility Study prepared by M. Arthur Gensler, Jr. & Associates, Inc. ("Gensler").

I.3. GSA Public Buildings Service Pricing Desk Guide - Edition 3 or latest version.

- J. GSA Specific Requirements listed in this scope of work augment the scope of deliverables outlined in the section entitled, General Submission Requirements, New Construction and Modernizations of the GSA, P100 Facilities Standards for each design option. A submittal requirement listed in the P100 may only be nullified by specific instructions within this scope of work, or direction of the Contracting Officer.

- K. Submittals for Review - This design project consists of the following submittals:

Base Contract Submittals: Pre-programming Services

- Site Analysis Report
- Program/Space Plans
- Pre-Design Estimate – Not required – Item will be removed after award

Option I: Preliminary Concept Design & Presentation

- Preliminary Concept Design (3 concepts)
- Narrative Report
- Cost Estimate

Option II: Final Concept Design & Presentation

- Final Concept Design
- Narrative Report
- Detailed Cost Estimate

K.1. GSA is expecting to receive 10 hard copies (full size) and 10 CDs at each submittal.

- K.2. The A/E will provide and ship the submittals to the following project participants using an overnight shipping service:

Name	Title	Address	Number of Copies
Ralph Scalise	LPOE Subject Matter Expert Strategic Programs & Professional Resources National Office of Design & Construction	1800 "F" Street NW Washington, DC 20405	1
Marty Bowers	Program Coordinator		1
Julie Milner	Border Patrol Rapid Response Project Manager Office of Design and Construction	Special Programs Division Denver Federal Center, Building 41	1

3/17/2010

Jim Oberg	Director, Special Programs Division Office of Construction Programs	Room 275 Denver, CO 80025	1
Donald Melcher	GSA Project Manager	Land Ports of Entry Service Center 230 S. Dearborn St. Room 3600 Chicago, IL 60604	4
Chenise Whitehead	GSA Contracting Officer		1
To be determined	GSA Fire Protection and Life Safety Engineer		1

K.3. GSA Submittal Requirements.

K.3.1. Plans, Sketches and Narratives:

Digital Files: All digital submission shall be in accordance with the National IDIQ Zone III contract. Digital versions of all text and image materials shall be provided to GSA on a CD-ROM or other electronic media as directed by the Contracting Officer. Text documents shall be compatible with the latest release of Microsoft Word, and spreadsheets shall be compatible with the latest release of Microsoft Excel. Images shall be compressed JPEG format. Drawings shall be in both dwg (Autocad 2008) and pdf file formats.

Document Security: Each document produced, including all studies, specifications, drawings, and cost estimates shall include the following on every page:

**PROPERTY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Do not remove this notice
Properly destroy documents when no longer needed**

The following paragraph will be included on the **cover** page of the information:

**PROPERTY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
COPYING, DISSEMINATION, OR DISTRIBUTION OF THESE
DRAWINGS, PLANS, OR SPECIFICATIONS TO UNAUTHORIZED
USERS IS PROHIBITED
Do not remove this notice
Properly destroy documents when no longer needed**

- K.3.2. Construction estimate: The construction estimate shall be as detailed as possible with all costs broken down into material and labor with unit costs and quantities indicated. Lump sums are not acceptable. Material quantities are to be priced by trades with backup calculations and unit costs identified with specific items of material to be placed or work operation to be performed. All markups (overhead, profit, general conditions, etc.) shall be identified and quantified. Estimate shall be prepared in Unifomat. Cost estimates are considered a separate deliverable product, but may be submitted concurrently with design documents and should meet the submittal requirements outlined in Section K on pages 12-14.
- K.3.3. Final Submissions: At final concept design,,10 bound hard copies (full size) and 10 CDs shall be submitted.
- L. LEED Requirements (WWW.USGBC.ORG): A/E shall use the functional objectives set forth in the LEED's Green Building Rating System for New Construction and Major Renovation to endeavor to achieve a "Silver" rating for this project. LEED certification is required in accordance with P 100. Architect will use reasonable efforts to comply with LEED criteria.

Design shall comply with the requirements of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPACT 05) and the Federal Leadership in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings Memorandum of Understanding.

Executive Order 12873 and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, (RCRA) requires that all federal agencies use sustainable principles in the design and construction of all federal facilities. Government goals are described in section nos. 304, 305, and 507 that address energy sources and energy efficiencies. Several laws are mentioned in the Executive Order, including RCRA requirements.

The RCRA works in conjunction with the Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines (CPG), as listed in the Recovered Material Advisory Notice (RMAN). Construction products materials covered by RMAN include, but are not limited to:

- Concrete mixes which contain coal fly ash and ground granulated blast furnace slag as an admixture component of the cement.
- Recovered and recycled insulation products as listed in the CPG.
- Recovered rubber and plastic for floor tiles and patio blocks.
- Recycled polyester fibers in carpet tiles.
- Reprocessed and recycled latex paint as a finish material.
- Recycled steel and plastic for toilet / shower room partitions.
- Recycled fiberboard and laminated paperboard as listed in the CPG.
- Currently unspecified products that may be added to the CPG during the design period of this project.

M. Design Excellence:

- M.1. Concept shall realize the objectives of the Guiding Principles of Federal Architecture. (see Facilities Standards).
- M.2. Cost-effective Design: The project design will fulfill the program requirements in a cost-effective manner and permit the construction to be accomplished within the designated schedule and budget.
- M.3. Functionality Objectives: The construction will be of durable materials that prolong the life of the structure. Prime engineering systems will be selected for long term performance. All systems will be integrated with the architecture and will respond to environmental and

energy issues. All functional requirements established or outlined by the A/E must be reviewed and accepted by GSA.

- M.4. Peer Review and Commissioner Approval: Refer to Section III, Pre-design Services, Heading D for Peer Review Requirements.

II. Project Schedule

This project consists of sequential and incremental pre-design phases building on the assumptions made in prior submittals. In between phases, GSA's staff architects and engineers, professional representatives of their tenants, and in some cases outside stakeholders including community groups and municipalities will review the work, this is usually a 2 week duration. Comments will come from these various agents, to which the A/E must respond within 7 calendar days, unless released by GSA in writing. At the government's discretion, failure to provide said response may result in the temporary suspension of work at the A/E's full cost. Work may only begin on the next phase after written approval is obtained from GSA. Any work performed in advance without authorization is at the A/E's risk. Additionally, any work departing from an approved direction is also at the A/E's full risk.

The schedule is outlined as follows:

SCHEDULE:

		Duration
Base Contract	Site Analysis Report	10 weeks
	Programming/Space Plans	10 weeks
Option I: Preliminary Concept Design	Preliminary Concepts, Narrative Reports and Estimates	18 weeks
Option II: Final Concept Design	Final Concept Design, Narrative Report and Estimate	14 weeks

III. Pre-design Services

A. Standard Services and Responsibilities

- A.1. General Responsibilities. The A/E shall perform all the professional services required under (1) the base contract, (2) options exercised, (3) and modifications to the contract. The A/E is responsible for providing complete architectural and engineering services needed in performing the scope of services in an expeditious and economical manner consistent with the best interests of the Government. The A/E shall assist GSA to achieve its goals with respect to the project's schedule, budget, scope and quality.
- A.2. Services to be Provided. The A/E will provide professional, technical, administrative and clerical personnel as needed to perform all required services including, but not limited to,

3/17/2010

those described in this contract. The services described or specified shall not be deemed to constitute a comprehensive specification having the effect of excluding services not specifically mentioned. Lump sum prices shall include all services described in this contract.

A.3. Record Keeping and Progress Reports

- A.3.1. Record Keeping. The A/E is to prepare concept drawings, sketches, clarifications, and other documentation and to maintain accurate and detailed records of the project's progress for each phase of the project.
- A.3.2. Project Progress Meetings. The A/E will schedule and conduct design meetings with the GSA on a biweekly basis, by teleconference/videoconference or an in-person meeting, and as required to maintain successful project progress.
- A.3.3. Meeting Coordination. In conjunction with meetings, the A/E will schedule and arrange for meeting places; provide advanced notice of meetings to attendees; prepare and distribute agenda to all attendees prior to meetings; chair meetings, address old and new business; record / distribute meeting minutes, control discussions to focus on results and the resolution of problems.
- A.3.4. Local Public Agencies. The A/E shall include in their base contract a maximum of three (3) meetings to present work to local public agencies or to private organizations.
- A.3.5. Progress Reports. Progress reports will be submitted at the end of each submission for Site Analysis, Space Planning, Preliminary Concepts, Final Concepts.
- A.3.6. Minutes. The A/E will take meeting minutes of all meetings identifying Action Items and responsible parties. Minutes must be provided to GSA no later than 7 calendar days after the meeting. Action reports will list all open items from previous meetings. The A/E will file and distribute meeting agendas with previous meetings minutes at least 3 days prior to all project design meetings to all project participants. The A/E will schedule and conduct design and planning meetings as necessary and as directed by the GSA, PM, COR or CO.
- A.3.7. Budget Control. The GSA PM has overall responsibility for monitoring the project budget. The A/E shall assist the PM in monitoring the budget by maintaining budget records and reporting on the status of the budget in the monthly progress reports.

B. General Pre-Design Requirements

- B.1. A/E Preparation and Submissions: The A/E will coordinate the preparation and submissions covered by this Scope of Work with GSA.
- B.2. Innovative Design: In order to provide the latitude needed for innovative design, new concepts, value analysis techniques, and the adjustment of quality level to suit the type of building under design and specific site conditions and tenant requirements, deviations may be made from any technical requirements in the criteria. This is allowed provided a professional judgment has been made that a safe, adequate, more economical and biddable, or better design will result. The A/E is encouraged to propose Innovative Design concepts which would be reviewed and approved by GSA. After review, a formal determination would be made to accept, conditionally accept or reject the proposal.
- B.3. Adherence to Federal Regulations: Requirements imposed by public laws, federal procurement regulations, executive orders and similar regulations must be adhered to.
- B.4. Construction Cost Estimates: The A/E will prepare detailed cost estimates for preliminary stages of the project (Preliminary Concepts and Final Concepts), based on the Uniformat presentation as described in this scope of services, in accordance with the handbook, PBS P3440.5. A breakdown of cost estimate as per GSA-PBS Pricing Desk Guide will be included. This will include the breakdown of Agency Bureau Codes and costs relative to Tenant Improvements versus Core and Shell costs, per the GSA Pricing Guidelines.

C. Disciplines and Submissions:

C.1. Design Services:

The A/E and the consultants will provide the design services in the following disciplines (including but not limited to):

- Architecture
- Cost estimating
- Conceptual Engineering:
 - Civil
 - Traffic engineering and transportation flow modeling
 - Structural/seismic
 - Electrical
 - Mechanical- HVAC and plumbing
 - Fire protection and life safety

C.2. Submissions

The A/E shall deliver complete documents in the project schedule. Each of the listed submissions has special requirements and parameters, as described in this Scope of Work and applicable reference documents. The A/E will make arrangements for reproductions and the distribution of all submissions in accordance with distribution guidelines developed in conjunction with GSA and outlined above.

C.3. Completeness of Documentation

Each submission listed in paragraph II, Project Schedule, will be complete and include all required material, corrections and modifications from previous reviews. Work that is not up to standards outlined or required herein, or which is incomplete, will be returned for correction and/or completion. All drawings, cost estimates, narratives or other documents furnished under the contract, will become the property of the Government.

D. Design Process

Design Phases: This project consists of sequential and incremental design phases building on the assumptions made in prior submittals (See Design Schedule on page 14/15). In between phases, GSA's staff architects and engineers, professional representatives of their tenants, and in some cases outside stakeholders including community groups and municipalities will review the

work. Comments will come from these various agents, to which the A/E must respond within 7 calendar days, unless released by GSA in writing. At the government's discretion, failure to provide said response may result in the temporary suspension of work at the A/E's full cost. Work may only begin on the next phase after written approval is obtained from GSA. Any work performed in advance without authorization is at the A/E's risk. Additionally, any work departing from an approved direction is also at the A/E's full risk.

D.1. Pre-design Meeting

Principal-in Charge, Project Manager, Project Designer(s), Project Architect and Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing (MEP) Project Manager shall attend a combines pre-design Project Kick-off Meeting at a time and place in Chicago, Illinois to be scheduled by the GSA Project Manager.

D.2. Data Gathering

The A/E shall review all studies and guides relevant to the construction of the POE including but not limited to:

- P100 – Facilities Standards for the Public Building Service, latest edition.
- U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard, latest edition and supplements.
- Other Federal Inspection Services (FIS) design requirements
- MDOT Aesthetic Design Guide
- Detroit River International Crossing Land Port of Entry Feasibility Study, December 2009, prepared by Arthur M. Gensler, Jr. Inc.
- Final Environmental Impact Statement and Final Section 4(f) Evaluation, prepared by U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and Michigan Department of Transportation, December 2008
- Program of Requirements (U.S. Customs and Border Protection)
- Space and Facilities Requirements for U.S. Food and Drug Administration
- Space and Facilities Requirements for U.S. Department of Agriculture

The A/E shall meet with officials from GSA, CBP, and other stakeholders, including community groups and municipalities, to gather input and design criteria.

The A/E shall review all relevant and available reports, plans and studies concerning municipal design guidelines and the area's overall development plan. In addition, meet with and gather input/design criteria from all applicable utility providers (i.e. water, electric, sewer, phone, data, etc.) Determine and prepare all provider application materials required for connection of this facility. Ascertain project review requirements of all providers, including municipalities. The A/E shall meet with city planning officials to investigate local expectations and incorporate findings into program analysis.

D.3. Site Analysis/Master Site Plan

- Perform a seasonal solar and wind analysis showing the associated impacts on building massing and fenestration orientation based on local climatic conditions. Include a discussion of weather elements and facility orientation with respect to outdoor inspection activities.
- Review and analyze the site topography and its relationship to cut/fill, drainage, and other related factors.

- Review and analyze surrounding buildings, their architectural character and their relationship to the proposed project. Outline both current and future development projects in the immediate vicinity of the project.
- Identify possibilities for public areas based on this analysis, as well as suggestions for improvements to adjacent traffic thoroughways. Incorporate current traffic data and modeling results from GSA Border Wizard.
- Provide future expansion possibilities, including lane additions/expansions.
- Identify predominant views both at street elevation and possible upper floors.
- Describe and analyze local zoning, land-use, and other urban directives that will impact the design of the proposed project. Include programs of both the community of Detroit, MI and those of Wayne County.
- Report on coordination activities with local officials such as the fire marshal to identify local requirements and limitations. The A/E should work closely with the GSA Fire and Life Safety Engineer for this project to ensure that all requirements are fulfilled.
- Review and analyze the Environmental Impact Statement, Environmental Assessment, or other GSA provided feasibility reports as provided. Incorporate this information into the project's design as appropriate.
- Identify existing and proposed utilities relative to the estimated demands by the proposed project. Existing utilities include, but are not limited to; electrical service, emergency power, gas service, water supply, sanitary sewer capacity, storm water collection and distribution.
- Identify supporting infrastructure required roadways along with responsible entity.
- Review the location and conditions of the adjacent flood plain and ascertain the project's impacts on the waterway and wetlands.
- Prepare a complete ALTA Survey for this project to assist GSA in the procurement of the required parcels (If required). Pricing for this item shall not be included in the base or options. Provide as a line item.
- Identify location and size requirements of septic system and well.
- Determine construction methodology for cut/fill.
- Determine applicable life safety codes and review agency requirements.
- Review the Environmental Impact Statement and associated information prepared by the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT).

D.4. Programming

D.4.1. Program Verification

The A/E shall develop a Programming Report after initial tenant meetings, to verify the accuracy and appropriateness of the detailed project requirements as described in the Feasibility Study. The A/E shall identify any discrepancies, omissions, or excesses within the existing Feasibility Study so that the Final Preliminary Design Report reflects and accurate compilation of the project criteria. The A/E shall identify and document any additional project requirements that become evident during this verification phase. The space requirements/housing plan spreadsheet contained in Feasibility Study shall become the basis for the space and program verification report required during Programming.

D.4.2. Programming for General Office Space

For each submittal, a space reconciliation report shall be provided that itemizes the size of each building; internal space breakouts; and a deviation report from any previous submittals. This deviation report shall also include any requirements that do not meet or exceed the requirements of the design guide. All space calculation spreadsheets and drawings shall indicate gross, rentable and usable area measured according to the ANSI/BOMA rules.

Office Space Program

Product: A detailed subdivision of each tenant work group into individual work units. A work unit is defined as the immediate area serving one occupant. Based on interviews with department heads of each agency function the A/E will develop a comprehensive program that at a minimum will address the following tenant requirements on a work unit level:

- Office and/or work unit dimensions
- Work groupings, relationships, and adjacencies
- Electrical power: type of power, number of outlets, etc., including description of equipment, appliances, etc. for each office and/or work unit.
- Lighting levels and special requirements
- Telecommunication and Data Systems, description of equipment, appliances, etc. for each office and/or work unit.
- Special Equipment, description of equipment, appliances, etc. for each office and/or work unit.
- Floor loading
- Window access
- Flexibility for change
- Privacy levels
- Openness, work team definitions
- Systems Furniture (include in your pricing as an option)
- Inventory of Existing Furniture to be reused.
- Security Systems, including access control devices and hierarchy levels affecting keying
- Signage, including text

Two weeks prior to conducting scheduled interviews, the A/E shall submit a worksheet describing the types of information that will be gathered during the interviews. The worksheet will also provide the requirements as stipulated in the applicable regulating documents.

The A/E will inform tenant representatives of the requirements listed in the applicable guidance documentation such as the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and FIS design requirements, P100, and shall report on any deviation between the regulating documentation and the tenant's stated requirement.

The Government will review the program for two weeks and will respond with written comments. The A/E will respond with (10) bound copies of the final program report addressing all comments within three weeks of receipt of the government's comments. The A/E will be responsible for setting up interviews, coordinating distribution of questionnaires, and all administrative activities associated with this effort. The A/E should plan two programming meetings to be held in Detroit, MI.

D.5. Blocking and Stacking

After successful completion of program verification, the A/E shall further develop information obtained for use in blocking and stacking to include the following:

- Conduct space need analysis; document functional needs and space requirements
- Provide strategic analysis and space occupancy planning
- Prepare blocking and stacking plans.
- Overview space planning for conformance with user requirements
- Insure that space infrastructure requirements are satisfied.
- Provide Design Narratives for electrical, mechanical, telecommunication, sprinkler and other relevant systems.
- Provide a design report: Design submittals developed by the A/E shall be accompanied by an explanatory Design Report. Design Report shall describe the services performed, report results of investigations, summarize major design issues, state basic design assumptions and criteria, make recommendations, and identify GSA or the Tenants decisions that are required. Cost estimates, schedules and operational plans are to be included in the Design Report along with other code compliance issues and requirements
- Update the Program spreadsheet identifying any changes/deviations from approved program made during the space planning process.

D.6. Preliminary Concept Design

The A/E is to develop 3 distinct and separate concepts. Each concept must be estimated separately and be designed within the budget. In addition to the specific requirements outlined in the P100, General Submission Requirements, the submittal shall include the following elements:

D.6.1. Narrative

A narrative describing how various options meet the program requirements for each critical function, a general description of the architectural and engineering scheme, vertical transportation analysis (where applicable), a code compliance statement and the construction cost of alternative schemes. See below for additional, specific narrative requirements.

Historical Overview and Forecasted Future Analysis: Based on local resources, summarize the areas history related to commerce, occupants, significant events, land-use, transportation, and livability objectives and other local characteristics that relate to the design of the proposed project. Include the Site Analysis information.

- D.6.2. Context Site Plan(s) showing land-use and transportation within a 3/4 mile radius around the project site, including the U.S. – Canada border. The plan must show sources of potential pedestrian and vehicle traffic, traffic patterns, existing/proposed areas of commerce and opportunities for enhancing the surrounding urban context. Include the Site Analysis information.

D.6.3. Floor Plans, including Partial Floor Plans

All floor plans at a scale of 1:50 or other as mutually agreed upon, will indicate

entrances, lobbies, corridors, vertical circulation, and general program areas. The drawings shall indicate the location of the usable area for each of the tenants, public spaces and other areas specified in the pre-design program, both horizontal and vertical, secure, restricted and public circulation paths. Provide larger scale partial floor plans (minimum 1/16" = 1'-0") to indicate layouts of core elements, and all other necessary plans. For each concept, provide floor plans for ground floor, general office floor, and any floor plan necessary to convey the design quality of each occupied area. Plans must show service routes, special circulation systems such as prisoner, and/or other secured circulation system in clear detail. Plans must show general space blocks identifying core elements, major tenant groupings, public areas, and other spaces contributing to the general quality of the design scheme.

D.6.4. Sketch Elevations

For each concept, elevations of each exposed side showing the material composition of each façade adequate for energy modeling purposes.

D.6.5. Sections

Longitudinal and transverse section at a scale of 1:50 or other as mutually agreed on will show floor-to-floor heights and other critical dimensions. Larger scale sections (minimum 1/16"=1'-0") will indicate new perimeter HVAC options, in the context of the window wall and floor mounted HVAC supply enclosures. As necessary to show stacking of the different tenant occupancies, vertical circulation of special functions, and horizontal ceiling and/or floor plenums.

D.6.6. Cost Estimate

Prepare an overall cost estimate for each concept design. The preliminary estimates will be done for each scheme with a more detailed cost estimate on the final concept selected. The cost estimate shall be in Unifomat. The A/E shall review the previously prepared preliminary cost estimate and provide a revised and updated cost estimate based of the final concept design selected. Cost analysis shall include the following:

D.6.6.1. Identification of all tenant requirements and associated costs that exceed standard levels for agency Design Guides and project building standards

D.6.6.2. Recommendations to return budget to the level established in the Feasibility Study if the revised cost estimate submission exceeds that amount.

D.6.7. Verification of Local and Model Code Compliance

Provide a narrative outlining compliance of design proposals with applicable local codes and GSA standards.

D.6.8. Life Cycle Cost Studies: The A/E design effort, including all systems and components, should be treated holistically; that is the collaborative effort of all design disciplines should be a comprehensive effort balancing the impacts on all aspects of the building design. In addition to the performance of energy consuming systems, it should consider the first cost of installation as well as

3/17/2010

operation and maintenance costs. An appropriately integrated design process and methodology among all disciplines will consider the impacts of systems and components upon each other. Methods for performing life cycle cost analysis are discussed in P 100 Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service.

- D.6.9. Energy Model: Utilizing industry accepted methodology; the A/E will model the yearly energy usage for each of the preliminary concepts and based on prevailing operating costs in the area, report on the yearly energy budget for each of the schemes. Model must be based on orientation, massing, assumed heat loads, fenestrations, and other energy factors. This will be completed on all schemes.
- D.6.10. Space Efficiency Report: For each concept model, report on the areas of each tenant group, parking, overall building gross, and efficiency as outlined in the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and FIS design requirements and/or GSA's P100.
- D.6.11. Preliminary Geotechnical Survey: incorporate Geotechnical Study available from MDOT EIS investigations.
- D.6.12. Environmental Impacts: Coordinate with NEPA information and other environmental documentation completed by MDOT EIS investigations
- D.6.13. Traffic circulation: Indicate traffic flow/patterns in and around the site, including both vehicular and pedestrian traffic for each scheme.
- D.6.14. Solar angles and wind direction: Provide solar angle calculations and prevailing wind direction studies on the building(s) and canopy design and orientation for each scheme.
- D.6.15. Paving type and composition: Provide paving load calculations and proposed location of paving types for each scheme.
- D.6.16. General Massing Program: Based on interviews with lead representatives of each tenant group and GSA, and the information contained in the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and FIS design requirements ascertain the optimum relationships between tenant groups and/or space usages. Relationships must address direct adjacencies between occupancy groups, vertical distribution of tenants, and description of basic program needs and their impact on the building. This analysis is limited to the level of overall macro-planning necessary to realistically order the basic program blocks and to ascertain the general size and location of building massing.
 - D.6.16.1 Massing Models of each design concept showing surrounding landscaping and hardscaping design. Models must show major material differences of exterior wall surfaces (i.e., glass, pre-cast, stone, etc.). The intent is not to finalize selection of materials, but to aid in the visualization of the proposed designs, and to be able to realistically model energy efficiency of the proposed designs.

- D.6.16.2 Three Dimensional overall Site Model showing the context of the Port of Entry within 3/4 mile of the project's boundaries including the U.S. – Canada border. The model shall show all existing buildings, streets, sidewalks and other features, and be designed to accommodate interchangeable concept models of the proposed building(s).

D.7. Peer Review (# 1)

As defined in the PBS, P100, Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service; and Part 1 of this document, the project will be reviewed by a panel of private sector architectural design practitioners and/or academicians. The Peers will advise the GSA and the A/E on the strengths and merits of the different design approaches, and to recommend one of the Preliminary Concept proposals for future refinement as the final Concept Design. The GSA will direct the A/E based on the findings of the peer review, as well as discussions with its primary tenant agencies.

The A/E is responsible for the cost of design to incorporate the recommendations from the reviews. The A/E will incorporate the comments resulting from the Peer Review into the selected design options before finalizing the concept.

The first Peer Review will be held at the GSA Region 5 Central Office in Chicago.

D.8 Final Concept

This phase of work is for the refinement and development of the preferred preliminary concept design. In addition to the specific requirements outlined in the P100, General Submission Requirements, the submittal shall include the following elements:

- D.8.1. Drawings: Presentation drawings as necessary to adequately present the final concept to the Chief Architect (and/or Commissioner) of the Public Building Service, tenant representatives, local city leaders, MDOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and other interested parties as approved first by GSA. At a minimum, must include site plans, elevations, floor plans, sections, and renderings included in the concept report.

D.8.1.1.Contextual Site Plan: within 3/4 mile of the project's boundaries including the U.S. – Canada border showing primary site factors influencing the design. Primary sources of pedestrian, vehicular, and service circulation. Identify surrounding land-uses as they pertain to possible use of exterior public space, and vistas.

D.8.1.2.Civil/Landscaping Site Plan: Showing existing/proposed utilities, landscaping, paving, water drainage patterns, topography, cut/fill lines, demolition of existing structures (as applicable).

D.8.1.3.Floor plans: Showing ground, typical, and each unique floor plan.

D.8.1.4.Elevations: Showing the configuration and extent of all exterior building materials, assemblies, and systems.

D.8.1.5.Sections: Dimensioned building sections showing internal stacking of occupancies, location of major mechanical equipment, available ceiling

3/17/2010

and/or floor plenums. Sections through primary lobby spaces, and any other special purpose space.

D.8.1.6. Wall Sections: Show exterior wall assemblies that would significantly contribute to the expression of the building. For example, curtain wall mullion, fins, light shelves that would impact the quality of the wall surface and are necessary for realistic modeling of the energy use and cost of the proposed project.

D.8.1.7. Servicing Plan: Must show service route from dock area to all occupied areas. Must show accessibility for all major mechanical and electrical equipment including servicing and replacement of boilers, chillers and fan-coil units.

D.8.2. Energy Studies: Expand and refine the results of the energy modeling conducted during Preliminary Concepts based on the added definition to the project since the last submittal as a means of verifying achievement of intent.

D.8.3. Sustainability Report: Demonstrate LEED rating by itemizing and describing each credit as it relates to the proposed design.

D.8.4. Environmental Impacts: Coordinate with NEPA information and other environmental documentation completed by MDOT EIS investigations.

D.8.5. Cost Estimate: Divided into the following general cost categories - Uniformat:

- Core/Shell per ANSI-BOMA definitions
- Tenant Improvement Costs per ANSI-BOMA definitions further divided per tenant group. For each tenant provide a tenant improvement allowance based on provided design standards as a design target for future development. Allowance must describe assumptions regarding materials.
- Cost reconciliation: Provide a report addressing any major cost differences in the A/E cost estimate and the independent cost estimate.

D.8.6. Aerial Rendering: Provide a rendering of the overall project site. At a minimum, the rendering should show the entire site and where feasible, surrounding structures or environmental features. Rendering may be computer generated, or may be photographs of the model taken with a model scope, as long as the images clearly and accurately portray the overall site theme and design.

D.8.7. Space Reconciliation and Efficiency Calculations: For each proposed tenant group, provide report of all interior areas and subdivided work units per the proposed concept design versus the amount reported in the final detailed space program. Additionally, provide computation of overall gross area versus occupied areas to ascertain overall efficiency of the building per the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and FIS design requirements.

D.8.8. Space Plan Test-Fit: Present programming test fit generated in the Space Planning Option of this scope of work.

D.9. Peer Review (# 2)

The second Peer Review will take place close to the completion of the Final Concept. The

concept should be developed enough to full demonstrate the Architects intent but allow for some changes if directed by GSA as a result of the Peer Review.

The second Peer Review will be held at the Architects Office.

D.10. Final Concept Design Presentation

Following GSA and the Peer Review the A/E shall revise and refine the Concept Design Report including a comparative analysis of all options. A presentation will be made to GSA and representatives of client agencies. The presentation will be made in Chicago and should include all details and be structured so as to lead to a rational selection from various options. Graphics should include a review of comparative solutions in light of tenant disruption, an improved environment, efficiency of operation and estimated schedules to enhance tenant comprehension.

D.11. Office of Chief Architect/Public Buildings Service Commissioner Approval

At the end of the Final Concept phase of design, the project shall be presented to the Commissioner of the Public Buildings Service for final approval in the GSA's Central Office in Washington, D.C. The Commissioner, or his/her designee, will issue a letter outlining the approval status that may contain specific recommendations for refinement or modification. The presentation will follow Central Office guidelines to be provided by the GSA Project Manager.

IV. Invoices and Payment

A. All invoices and payment will be handled in accordance with Section S of the National IDIQ Zone III contract.

B. Payment shall be paid in monthly installments based on the percentage of performed work, as determined by the GSA Project Manager and approved by the Contracting Officer.

B.1: Base. Pre-programming Services

In consideration of the A/E's performance of the services required by this contract, the Government shall pay the A/E a fixed fee of **\$ 791,263.03**

B.2: Option I. Preliminary Concept Design

In consideration of the A/E's performance of the services required by this contract, the Government shall pay the A/E a fixed fee of **\$ 1,189,091.27**

B.3: Option II. Final Concept Design

In consideration of the A/E's performance of the services required by this contract, the Government shall pay the A/E a fixed fee of **\$ 832,918.82 – Price accepted, but not awarded at this time.**

C. Prior to the final payment under this contract, the Architect shall furnish the Government a release of all claims against the Government other than such claims as the Architect may specifically state. The Architect shall describe and state the amount of each stated claim.

D. Payment of Direct Costs

The A/E shall pre-pay mailing, shipping and express charges on all charts, sketches, drawings and other documents submitted to the Government and DHS. These direct cost shall be factored into your fixed prices submitted in item IV. Fee and Payment Schedule.

E. Travel

All travel and other direct costs will be handled in accordance with Section R of the National IDIQ Zone III contract. All Travel costs associated with the performance of work will be reimbursed in accordance with the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR). As such, estimated travel costs should not be included in the proposed labor hour rates. (1) Costs incurred for transportation and per diem (lodging, meals and incidental expenses) will be billed in accordance with FAR 31.205-46 and Federal Travel Regulations

All travel required to be performed in connection with the base contract and optional services to be rendered under this contract shall be without additional expense to the Government. These direct cost shall be a part of your fixed prices submitted in item IV. Fee and Payment Schedule.

2000



END

(5)

2111-029-00

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 3		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. PS01		3. EFFECTIVE DATE MAY 27, 2010		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5PSL-10-0017		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) RMI00315	
6. ISSUED BY LAND PORTS OF ENTRY SERVICE CENTER 230 S. DEARBORN ST. SUITE 3600 CHICAGO IL 60604				CODE GC000		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) See Block 6	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. 701 WASHINGTON AVE NORTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 554011180				DUNS: (b) (4) Cage Code: (b) (4)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
612-758-4000				CODE 00013131		FACILITY CODE	
				(X)		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
				x		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028/GS-P-05-10-GC-5002	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) APR 08, 2010	

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

See Schedule

Modification Amount: \$799,023.56

Modification Obligated Amount: \$799,023.56

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
X	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: By Mutual Agreement of both parties
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Task Order: GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architect and Engineering Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry at the Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan

This modification is issued to accept your proposal dated May 24, 2010 in the amount of (\$33,895.26) to delete the requirement of providing a cost estimate in the Base Contract (Pre-programming Services).

In addition, this modification adds Option II - Final Concept Design and Presentation in the amount of \$832,918.82. This reflects a combined total of \$799,023.56.

The total contract value to date including this action is \$2,779,377.86.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) Rebecca J. Greco, Vice President		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Chenise Whitehead, Contracting Officer	
15B. CONTRACT NUMBER (b) (6)		16B. DATE SIGNED (b) (6)	
15C. DATE SIGNED 5/27/10		16C. DATE SIGNED 5/27/10	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

SCHEDULE Continued

ITEM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE \$	AMOUNT \$
0007	<p>(New Line Item)</p> <p>Architect and Engineering Pre-Design Services for the Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan</p> <p>Award Option II - Final Concept Design and Presentation. Delete the requirement to provide an estimate in the Base Contract.</p> <p>Accounting and Appropriation Data: PJ0E00978.2010.192X.05.PG61.P0550001.954.PG121.RMI00315.MI0406ZZ.001. \$799,023.56</p>	1.00	LS	799,023.56	799,023.56

A/E COST ESTIMATE			FIRM: HGA INC.		
PROJECT NAME: Detroit River International Crossing		CITY: Detroit		STATE: Michigan	
		BUILDING:		PROJECT NO.: RFTQ-5PSL-10-0001	
DESIGN STAGE: ADDITIONAL SERVICE - BASE: Delete Cost Estimating				MOD. NO.:	

A. DIRECT SALARY COSTS						DATA REFERENCE
SPECIALTIES	JOB TITLES	MAN-HRS	RATES \$	AMOUNTS \$	TOTALS \$	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & COORDINATION	Principal	(b) (4)				
	Sr. Project Manager					
ARCHITECTURAL	Sr. Architectural Designer					
	Sr. Architect					
	Architect					
STRUCTURAL / CIVIL	Sr. Civil Engineer					
	Civil Engineer					
	Sr. Structural Engineer					
MECHANICAL	Sr. Mechanical Engineer					
	Mechanical Engineer					
ELECTRICAL	Sr. Electrical Engineer					
	Electrical Engineer					
PLUMBING / FIRE PROTECTION	included in Mechanical					
OTHERS	Sr. Landscape Architect					
	Landscape Architect					
	Sr. Cost Estimating					
	Cost Estimating					
TOT. DWGS:	0	TOTAL MAN-HOURS:				

B. DIRECT COSTS		AMOUNTS \$	
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
TOTAL CONSULTANTS B:		\$0.00	

C. EXCLUDED COSTS		AMOUNTS \$	
1. Printing		(b) (4)	
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
TOTAL			

D. OVERHEAD POOLS					
TITLES	RATES %	BASES %	ITEMS		
1. OVERHEAD	(b) (4)				
2.					
3.					

CONSULTANT FIRM: (Name & Address) Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc. 701 Washington Avenue North Minneapolis, MN 55401-1180	E. TOTAL COST TO CONSULTANT:		(b) (4)
	F. PROFIT:		10.00% OF (E)
	G. TOTAL COST TO GOVERNMENT:		
	H. ITEM G MINUS EXCLUDED COSTS:		(\$34,005.96)
PREPARED BY: (Signature & Title) (b) (6) Principal, Vice President	DATE: 05/24/10	APPROVED BY: (Signature & Title)	DATE:



Architecture | Engineering | Planning

4

To: Katie M. Brazeau
Contract Specialist
U.S. General Services Administration
Public Building Service
Land Ports of Entry Division
230 S. Dearborn, Suite 3600
Chicago, Illinois 60604

From: Margaret Ricci
Contracts Administrator

Phone: 612-758-4486
Fax: 612-758-9486

We forward the following:

1 executed copy of the Notice of Suspension to
Prime Contractors for the referenced Project

Remarks:

For your files.

Transmittal

Date: December 3, 2010
Project: Detroit River International
Crossing
Commission No.: 2111-029-00
GSA Contract No. GS-07P-09-HH-D-0027
Task Order No. GS-P-05-10-GC-5002

Via:

- ☒ First Class Mail
- ☐ Direct Courier
- ☐ 1-Hour Courier
- ☐ 3-Hour Courier
- ☐ Overnight Express
- ☐ United Parcel Service
- ☐ Other
- ☐ Fax - Pages to follow:
Fax phone number:

Copy To:

- ☐ Correspondence File
- ☒ Contract File
- ☐ Shop Drawing File

Also Fax To:

- ☐
- ☐

cc: Others

- ☐
- ☐

cc: HGA

- ☒ Eric Biederman
- ☒ Becky Greco
- ☒ Linda Pederson
- ☒ Ken Peterson

s:\2100\2111\029-00\2. contracts\o-a agreement\tr20101203_brazeau_mrr.doc



GSA Great Lakes Region

November 18, 2010

Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc.
Ms. Rebecca Greco, Principal, Vice President
701 Washington Avenue North
Minneapolis, MN 55401

SUBJECT: Contract # GS-07P-09-HH-D-0027 / Task Order # GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 for Architectural and Engineering Services for the New Land Port of Entry, Detroit River International Crossing (DRIC), Detroit, Michigan.

Dear Ms. Greco:

The U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT), and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) met with you on June 15-17, 2010 to begin pre-design and programming work.

During this meeting CBP announced that it was re-evaluating the current Program of Requirements (POR) with its headquarters staff. At the meeting, an unapproved version of the revised POR was provided. Upon initial examination, it appeared that the revised POR had increased the scope of the project by more than 57,000 square feet across all operational functions. Since that time, GSA and CBP have communicated frequently via telephone, e-mail and letter regarding direction on the POR and status of this project in terms of CBP prioritization and funding commitments. CBP has stated that the DRIC project is not on CBP's Office of Management and Budget approved five-year capital investment plan.

In addition, MDOT is awaiting state Senate approval of three key pieces of legislation for DRIC to move forward:

- Approval to enter into a public-private partnership to design, build and operate a new international crossing (the bridge, itself)
- Approval to enter into contracts with Canada to build the new international crossing
- Approval to create a tolling authority for the new international crossing

In spite of the above uncertainties, MDOT and GSA continue to communicate on strategies to move the project forward in the event necessary approvals and directions are achieved. However, in the interim, given the levels of uncertainty, and possible changes to the current Program of Requirements, moving forward with pre-design and programming work would not be beneficial. Therefore, we are suspending work on your Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 until March 31, 2011. We will continue to monitor the status and keep you updated on any changes.

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION TO PRIME CONTRACTORS

You are notified that Task Order # GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 (referred to as "the contract") is suspended until March 31, 2011 under the clause entitled Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 52.242-14, Suspension of Work. The suspension is effective at 11:59 p.m. CST on November 18, 2010. The following actions are required:

U.S. General Services Administration
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604-1696
www.gsa.gov

Notice of Suspension
Contract # GS-07P-09-HH-D-0027
Task Order # GS-P-05-10-GC-5002

1. Immediately discontinue all services.
2. Keep adequate records showing the--
 - a. Date you received the Notice of Suspension;
 - b. Effective date of suspension; and
 - c. Extent of completion of performance on effective date.
3. Furnish notice of suspension to each immediate subcontractor that will be affected by this suspension.
In the notice--
 - a. Specify your Government contract number;
 - b. State that the contract has been suspended completely;
 - c. Provide instructions to stop all work;
 - d. Provide instructions to submit the current invoice promptly; and
 - e. Request that similar notices and instructions be given to its immediate subcontractors; if any.
4. Provide the Government with a current invoice for all services performed from the last approved invoice through the suspension date indicated by this letter.

Please acknowledge receipt of this notice as provided in the attachment.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 312.353.2497.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

Chenise Whitehead
Contracting Officer
Land Ports of Entry Service Center

Enclosure

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF NOTICE

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of a signed copy of this notice on Dec 3, 2010, 2010.
Please return one signed copy of this notice.

Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc.
(Name of Company)

By Rebecca Greco
(Typed or Printed)

[Redacted Signature]
(Signature)

Vice President
(Title)



U.S. General Services Administration

Land Ports of Entry Service Center
230 South Dearborn Street, Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60604

2111-029-00

5

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

TO: Ms. Becky Greco
Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc.
701 Washington Avenue North
Minneapolis, MN 55401

DATE: 12/01/10

RE: A/E Services – DRIC
Detroit, MI

The following documents are transmitted by: ☐ E-mail ☐ Fax ☐ UPS ☒ USPS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Correspondence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Modification	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prints	<input type="checkbox"/>	Samples	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	Disks	<input type="checkbox"/>	Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	Request for Proposal (RFP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifications	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	COPIES	DESCRIPTION /REMARKS	ACTION
--	--------	----------------------	--------

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Modification PO02 – Suspension of Work	For your use
-------------------------------------	---	--	--------------

FROM: Chenise Whitehead

Telephone: 312/353-2497 • **Fax:** 312/353-7387

If enclosures are not as described, please notify us.

Description of Modification/Amendment:

Task Order: GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architect and Engineering Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry, Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan

Work under this task order is suspended until March 31, 2011 as indicated in the Notice of Suspension dated November 18, 2010.

Accounting and Appropriation Data:

PJ0E00978.2011.192X.05.PG61.P0550001.954.PG121.RMI00315.MI0406ZZ.001

\$0.00

5

2111-029-00

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. Contract ID Code		Page of Pages	
2. AMENDMENT MODIFICATION NO.		3. EFFECTIVE DATE		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable)	
PO03		APR 01, 2011				RMI00315	
6. ISSUED BY LAND PORTS OF ENTRY SERVICE CENTER 230 S. DEARBORN ST. SUITE 3600 CHICAGO IL 60604				7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE See Block 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTORY (NO., Street, Country, State, and ZIP Code) HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. 701 WASHINGTON AVE NORTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 554011180				DUNS: (b) (4) Cage Code: [REDACTED]		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
612-758-4000				(x)		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
CODE 00013131				FACILITY CODE		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028/GS-P-05-10-GC-5002	
				X		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) APR 08, 2010	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OR OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
See Schedule							
13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
Check One							
A. THIS ORDER ID ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
X D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) FAR 52.242-14 Suspension of work							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible)							
See Supplementary Page.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) Pepocca J. Groco Vice President				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Chenise Whitehead, Contracting Officer 312/353-2497 chenise.whitehead@gsa.gov			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
(b) (6)		3/29/2011		(b) (6)		3/31/11	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)				(Signature of Contracting Officer)			

Description of Modification/Amendment:

Task Order: GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architect and Engineering Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry, Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan

Modification PO02, dated December 1, 2010 suspended work under this task order to March 31, 2011. This modification is issued to extend the suspension from March 31, 2011 to June 30, 2011.

Accounting and Appropriation Data:

PJ0E00978.2011.192X.05.PG61.P0550001.N20.PG121.RMI00315.MI0406ZZ.001

\$0.00



U.S. General Services Administration

Land Ports of Entry Service Center
230 South Dearborn, Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60604

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

TO: Ms. Rebecca J. Grecco, VP
Hammel, Greenand Abrahamson, Inc
701 Washington Avenue North
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401-1180

DATE: 03/31/11

RE: Detroit River International Crossing
Detroit, Michigan

The following documents are transmitted by: ☐ E-mail ☐ Fax ☐ UPS ☒ USPS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Correspondence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Modification	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prints	<input type="checkbox"/>	Samples	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	Disks	<input type="checkbox"/>	Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	Request for Proposal (RFP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifications	<input type="checkbox"/>	RFP/RFQ

COPIES	DESCRIPTION /REMARKS	ACTION
--------	----------------------	--------

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Executed Copy of Modification PO03	For your use
-------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	--------------

FROM: Chenise Whitehead

Telephone: 312/353-2497 • Fax: 312/353-7387



U.S. General Services Administration

Land Ports of Entry Service Center
230 South Dearborn, Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60604

2111-029-00
629-01

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

TO: Ms. Rebecca J. Grecco, VP
Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc
701 Washington Avenue North
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401-1180

DATE: 06/28/11

RE: Detroit River International Crossing
Detroit, Michigan

The following documents are transmitted by: ☐ E-mail ☐ Fax ☐ UPS ☒ USPS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Correspondence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Modification	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prints	<input type="checkbox"/>	Samples	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	Disks	<input type="checkbox"/>	Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	Request for Proposal (RFP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifications	<input type="checkbox"/>	RFP/RFQ

COPIES	DESCRIPTION /REMARKS	ACTION
--------	----------------------	--------

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Executed Copy of Modification PO04	For your file
-------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	---------------

FROM: Chenise Whitehead

(Signature)

Telephone: 312/353-2497 • Fax: 312/353-7387

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. Contract ID Code		Page of Pages 1 2	
2. AMENDMENT MODIFICATION NO. <div style="text-align: center;">PO04</div>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <div style="text-align: center;">JUL 01, 2011</div>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable) <div style="text-align: center;">RMI00315</div>	
6. ISSUED BY LAND PORTS OF ENTRY SERVICE CENTER 230 S. DEARBORN ST. SUITE 3600 CHICAGO IL 60604 CODE GC000				7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE <div style="text-align: center;">See Block 6</div>			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., Street, Country, State and ZIP Code) HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. 701 WASHINGTON AVE NORTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 554011180 DUNS: (b) (4) Cage Code: [REDACTED] 612-758-4000 CODE 00013131 FACILITY CODE				(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
						10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028/GS-P-05-10-GC-5002	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) <div style="text-align: center;">APR 08, 2010</div>	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OR OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) See Schedule						Modification Amount: \$0.00 Modification Obligated Amount: \$0.00	
13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
Check One		A. THIS ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
		B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).					
		C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
X		D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) FAR 52.242-14 Suspension of Work					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <u> 1 </u> copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible)							
See Supplementary Page.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) <i>Robecca Greco</i> <i>Vico President</i>				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Chenise Whitehead, Contracting Officer 312/353-2497 chenise.whitehead@gsa.gov			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR <div style="text-align: center;">(b) (6)</div>		15C. DATE SIGNED <div style="text-align: center;">6/21/2011</div>		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <div style="text-align: center;">(b) (6)</div>		16C. DATE SIGNED <div style="text-align: center;">6.28.11</div>	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)				(Signature of Contracting Officer)			

Description of Modification/Amendment:

Task Order: GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architect and Engineering Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry, Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan

By mutual agreement of both parties, this modification is issued to extend the suspension of work from June 30, 2011 to October 31, 2011.

Accounting and Appropriation Data:

PJ0E00978.2011.192X.05.PG61.P0550001.N20.PG121.RMI00315.MI0406ZZ.001

\$0.00



Architecture | Engineering | Planning

4

Transmittal

To: David Mazzolini
Contracting Officer
U.S. General Services Administration
Public Building Services
Design & Construction Division (CCP)
230 South Dearborn, Suite 3600
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Date: December 13, 2011
Project: GSA-Detroit River
International Crossing
Commission No.: 2111-029-00

From: Margaret Ricci Phone: 612-758-4486
Contracts Administrator Fax: 612-758-9486

We forward the following:

1 fully executed copy of Modification of Contract
No. PA05 for the referenced Project

Via:

- ☒ First Class Mail
☐ Direct Courier
☐ 1-Hour Courier
☐ 3-Hour Courier
☐ Overnight Express
☐ United Parcel Service
☐ Other
☐ Fax - Pages to follow:
Fax phone number:

Remarks:

For your files. We have retained a fully executed
copy.

Copy To:

- ☒ Correspondence File
☐ Contract File
☐ Shop Drawing File

Also Fax To:

- ☐
☐
☐

cc: Others

- ☐
☐
☐

cc: HGA

- ☒ Eric Biederman
☒ Becky Greco
☒ Ken Peterson

s:\2100\2111\029-00\2. contracts\o-a agreement\tr20111213_mazzolini_mrr.docx

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. Contract ID Code		Page of Pages	
2. AMENDMENT MODIFICATION NO.		3. EFFECTIVE DATE		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable)	
PA05		DEC 09, 2011				RMI00315	
6. ISSUED BY LAND PORTS OF ENTRY SERVICE CENTER 230 S. DEARBORN ST. SUITE 3600 CHICAGO IL 60604				7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) Design and Construction Division Capital Construction Program 230 S. Dearborn St. Room 3600 Chicago IL 60604			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., Street, Country, State and ZIP Code) HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. 701 WASHINGTON AVE NORTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 554011180				9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.			
DUNS: (b) (4) Cage Code: [REDACTED]				(x)			
612-758-4000				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)			
CODE 00013131				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028/GS-P-05-10-GC-5002			
FACILITY CODE				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) APR 08, 2010			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OR OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
See Schedule							
13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
Check One	A. THIS ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.						
X	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).						
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:						
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible)							
See Supplementary Page.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
[Signature] Vice President				Chenise Whitehead, Contracting Officer 312/353-2497 chenise.whitehead@gsa.gov			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
(b) (6)		12/12/11		(b) (6)		DEC 09, 2011	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)				(Signature of Contracting Officer)			

Supplementary Page

Description of Modification/Amendment:

Base Contract: GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028 / Task Order: GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 - Architect and Engineering Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry, Detroit River International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan

Effective December 6, 2011, David Mazzolini will replace Chenise Whitehead as Contracting Officer on the project referenced above. David's contact information is as follows:

David Mazzolini, Contracting Officer
U.S. General Services Administration
Public Building Services
Design & Construction Division (CCP)
230 S. Dearborn - Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60604
Ph:(312) 353-0617

Accounting and Appropriation Data:

PJ0E00978.2012.192X.05.PG61.P0550001.N20.PG121.RMI00315.MI0406ZZ.001

\$0.00

2111-029-00

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. Contract ID Code		Page of Pages	
2. AMENDMENT MODIFICATION NO.		3. OFFER DATE		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
PS06		SEP 05 2012		5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY D&C Capital Construction Program Procurement Branch 230 S. Dearborn St. Room 3600 Chicago IL 60604 Oana Oprean 312-353-4001		CODE 5PCCP		7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than item 6) CODE See Block 6	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., Street, Country, State and ZIP Code) HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC. 420 5TH STREET NORTH STE 100 MINNEAPOLIS MN 554011180		DUNS: (b) (4) Cage Code: [REDACTED]		(x)	
612-758-4000		CODE 00013131		FACILITY CODE	
9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. GS-07P-09-HH-D-0028/GS-P-05-10-GC-5002	
10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		APR 08, 2010		X	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OR OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
See Schedule					
13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
Check One					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).					
X C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: By Mutual Agreement of Both Parties					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <u>2</u> copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible) Architect and Engineer Pre-Design Services for the New U.S. Land Port of Entry at the International Crossing, Detroit, Michigan; Task Order Number GS-P-05-10-GC-5002; Modification PS06 - Scope Realignment.					
...See Continuation Page					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) <i>Rebecca Gneco</i> <i>Vice President</i>		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) David Mazzolini, Contracting Officer 312-353-0617 david.mazzolini@gsa.gov			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (b) (6)		15C. DATE SIGNED 8/27/2012		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (b) (6)	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 9/5/12	

Continued from Block 14...

This modification, PS06, is hereby issued as a supplemental agreement between the General Services Administration (GSA) and Hammel, Green and Abrahamson, Inc. (HGA) to incorporate your document entitled "Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions", dated August 21, 2012, at no additional cost.

On April 8, 2010, HGA was awarded the above referenced task order in the amount of one million, nine hundred eighty thousand, three hundred fifty-four dollars and thirty cents (\$1,980,354.30) for Pre-Programming Services (Base) and Preliminary Concept Designs and Presentation (Option 1). On May 27, 2010, you were issued modification PS01, in the amount of seven hundred ninety-nine thousand, twenty three dollars and fifty-six cents (\$799,023.56), which eliminated the need for a cost estimate and awarded Final Concept Design and Presentation (Option II). The total contract value awarded, inclusive of base, Option I and Option II is two million, seven hundred seventy-nine thousand, three hundred seventy-seven dollars and eighty-six cents (\$2,779,377.86) out of which one hundred seventy-eight thousand, thirty-seven dollars and five cents (\$178,037.05) has been previously expensed. The remaining funds are two million, six hundred one thousand, three hundred forty dollars and eighty-one cents (\$2,601,340.81).

This modification, PS06 and the attached "Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions", dated August 21, 2012, shall takes precedence over the previously issued scope of work. All work associated with the revised scope shall be performed at the firm fixed amount of two million, six hundred one thousand, three hundred forty dollars and eighty-one cents (\$2,601,340.81).

The above referenced task order is hereby extended to August 1, 2013.

All other terms and conditions of the contract shall remain the same.

PJ0E00978

BA 61

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

	Reference Location	April 8, 2010 Task Order	July 11, 2012 Proposed Scope of Work	Reason for Change
1.	pp. 5 – top of page	“Estimated construction cost at award (ECCA) • Operating Lease Project: \$150,811,321 • Capital Improvement Project: \$266,292,185 (Does not include Project Contingency).”	Delete. Referenced section	ECCA may be inaccurate due to passage of it. A/E to recalculate ECCA in 2012 scope.
2.	pp. 5 – 1.A	“MDOT will acquire approximately 168 acres of land in a combination of parcels from private owners, the State of Michigan, and the City of Detroit.”	Delete. Referenced section.	Land procurement method may differ under current U.S./Canada agreement.
3.	pp. 5 – 1.B	“The Detroit River International Crossing (DRIC)”	Replace reference with: New International Trade Crossing (NITC).	Project name change.
4.	pp. 6 – 1.B	“The FIS agencies to be located at this new Land Port of Entry (LPOE) include: • U.S. Department of Homeland Security – Customs and Border Protection (CBP) • U.S. Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service – Veterinary Services (VS) • U.S. Department of Health and Human Services – Food and Drug Administration (FDA)”	Add the following bullet points: • U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife Service – Office of Law Enforcement	Include customer agencies that may be included in FIS.
5.	pp. 6 – 1.B	“GSA intends to.....remains a possibility.”	Delete. Referenced Section.	Procurement scenario likely to differ under current U.S./Canada agreement.
6.	pp. 7 – B) Buildings and Site		Insert bullet • “Closely coordinate planned layout, alignment, geometry,	Ensure good coordination of predesign planning prior to hand-off to Crossing Authority and private

Page 1

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

	Reference Location	April 8, 2010 Task Order	July 11, 2012 Proposed Scope of Work	Reason for Change
			material continuity, and future lane additions of Federal Plaza roadways with MDOT and Federal Highway Administration at connections to the international crossing and Interstate Highway 75."	concessionaire.
7.	pp. 7 – C) Sustainability – second bullet point	"Certified"	Change to "Silver."	"Silver" is consistently referenced throughout Scope of Services Document.
8.	pp. 8 – D) Circulation – first bullet point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Analyze pedestrian and vehicular traffic patterns showing predominant arrival and departure points and peak hour circulation patterns." 	Revise: "Modify site plans and building program as appropriate by incorporating GSA's Border Wizard pedestrian and vehicular traffic patterns analysis showing predominant arrival and departure points and peak hour circulation patterns."	Requested data to be provided by GSA through "Border Wizard" modeling.
9.	pp. 8 – C.2 – Project Objectives – first bullet point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review Program Of Requirements and project budget provided by the Government with respect to chosen site. Detroit River International Crossing Land Port of Entry Feasibility Study, December 2009 ("Feasibility Study"), the U.S. Land Port of Entry Design Standard and other FIS design requirements, and the aforementioned Project Goals. 	After first sentence add: "revise budget provided in Feasibility Study to account for passage of time and changes to Program Of Requirements as requested by customer agencies in June 2010 workshops.	Budget review requested by GSA and necessary due to passage of time and scope changes.
10.	pp. 8 – C.2 – Project Objectives – second bullet point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a minimum of three (3) preliminary conceptual design schemes, each must be estimated separately and designed within the Estimated Construction Cost at 	Change "of \$150,811,321" to "as defined in 2012 review, analysis and approved by GSA."	Budget review requested by GSA and necessary due to passage of time and scope changes.

Page 2

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

	Reference Location	April 8, 2010 Task Order	July 11, 2012 Proposed Scope of Work	Reason for Change
		Award (ECCA) range of \$150,811,321 – Note: This amount represents the cost of construction of the FIS plaza. All design submittals shall conform to the established budget.		
11.	pp. 8 – D	Estimated Construction Cost at Award (ECCA) is approximately: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operating Lease Project: \$150,811,321 • Capital Improvement Project: \$266,292,185 ... 	Delete first sentence and two bullet points. Add: HGA to review capital improvement project ECCA as documented in the December 2009 Feasibility Study. HGA will provide a revised ECCA based on construction cost trending, 2012 approved POR, GSA Land Port of Entry Unit Cost Study cost models and confirmation of site area and location. Revised budget will be submitted to GSA for review/comment. HGA will coordinate GSA requested ECCA revisions. GSA approved revised ECCA will supersede 2009 FS budget as the estimated project construction budget for subsequent concept design phases.	Budget review requested by GSA and necessary due to passage of time and scope changes.
12.	pp. 9 – E.4	An allowance of airfare, etc.	Delete first sentence. Add first sentence: The contract includes allowances for the following travel expenses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Five (5) two to three (2 – 3) day workshops in Detroit. • One (1) trip to tour benchmarking northern border I.POF. • Three (3) preliminary concept design. 	Quantity of workshops exceeds allowing in original Scope of Work.

Page 3

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

	Reference Location	April 8, 2010 Task Order	July 11, 2012 Proposed Scope of Work	Reason for Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two (2) final concept design. One (1) contingency as required. One (1) design excellence presentation – Chicago, Illinois. 	
13.	pp. 12 – I.2	Feasibility (“Gensler”)	Add: “Shall be followed to the greatest extent possible subject to desired modifications by GSA and customer agencies.”	Customer agencies require analysis and redesign of FS preferred site alternative. Revised preferred site alternative will supersede 2009 FS.
14.	pp. 12 – K -- Base Contract Submittals	Base Contract Submittals – Pre-programming Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Analysis Report Program/Space Plans 	Add: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revised FCCA. 	Revised FCCA due to passage of time and increase in scope.
15.	pp. 12 /13 – K.2		GSA to review listed participants and revise as needed.	
16.	pp. 14 – K.3.2 -- Construction Estimate	Construction estimate: The construction quantities indicated.	After the word “estimate”, add: at final concept design.	Reduce required estimating detail for preliminary concept design. Cost estimating efficiency reallocates time to Site Plan redesign.
17.	pp. 15 – M.4	Peer Review and Commissioner Approval: Refer to Section III, Pre-design Services, Heading D for Peer Review Requirements	Delete. Referenced section.	GSA will not be contracting a panel of private sector architectural design practitioners and/or academicians to perform an independent peer review.

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

18.	pp. 15 – Schedule	February 11, 2010	Revise Preliminary Concept Design Phase to 14 weeks. Revise Final Concept Design Phase 12 weeks.	Additional time required to integrate additional Site Plan redesign tasks into Concept Design process.														
		<table><tr><td>Base Contract</td><td>Site Analysis Report</td><td>Duration</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Programming/Space Plans</td><td>6 weeks</td></tr><tr><td>Option I</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Preliminary Concept Design</td><td>Preliminary Concepts, Narrative Reports and Estimates</td><td>10 weeks</td></tr><tr><td>Option II</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Final Concept Design</td><td>Final Concept Design, Narrative Report and Estimate</td><td>8 weeks</td></tr></table>			Base Contract	Site Analysis Report	Duration		Programming/Space Plans	6 weeks	Option I			Preliminary Concept Design	Preliminary Concepts, Narrative Reports and Estimates	10 weeks	Option II	
Base Contract	Site Analysis Report	Duration																
	Programming/Space Plans	6 weeks																
Option I																		
Preliminary Concept Design	Preliminary Concepts, Narrative Reports and Estimates	10 weeks																
Option II																		
Final Concept Design	Final Concept Design, Narrative Report and Estimate	8 weeks																
19.	pp. 17 – B.4 – Construction Cost Estimates	Construction cost.... PBS P3440.5.	After the word “prepare”, Add: General cost estimates based on CSI Master Spec. format for preliminary Concept Design. The intent of these estimates is verification that each viable concept design can be constructed within project budget.	Reduce required estimating detail for preliminary concept design. Cost estimating efficiency reallocates time to Site Plan redesign.														
20.	pp. 17 – C.1 – Design Services	Traffic Engineering and transportation flow modeling.	Delete. Transportation flow modeling.”	Transportation flow modeling to be provided by GSA utilizing “Border Wizard.”														
21.	pp. 18-19 – D.3 – Site Analysis/Master Site Plan	Reference all bullet points in Section D.3.	Delete the following bullet points:															
			<ul style="list-style-type: none">• “Provide future expansion possibilities, including lane additions/expansions”	Future expansion scenarios will be studied in preliminary site concept phase.														
			<ul style="list-style-type: none">• “Identify predominant views both at street elevation and possible upper floors”	Not relevant to NITC.														

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare a complete ALTA survey for this project to assist GSA in the procurement of the required parcels (if required)." 	Alta survey scope to be Part of site acquisition process.
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify location and size requirements of septic system and well" 	Not applicable.
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determine construction methodology for cut/fill. 	Cut/fill method to be determined in Design Development Phase.
22.	pp. 20 – D.4.2 – Programming for General Office Space First paragraph, last sentence.	"All space calculation spreadsheets and drawings shall indicate gross, rentable and usable area measured according to the ANSI/BOMA rules."	Verify applicability of this scope requirement.	Is this scope appropriately defined for intended delivery method?
23.	pp. 21 – D.5	Reference all bullet points in Section D.5	Delete: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Provide design narratives.... Relevant systems." 	Provide M/E/P/Telecom narratives in preliminary concepts phase.
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Provide a design report: Design submittals developed by the A/E shall be accompanied by an explanatory Design Report. Design Report shall describe the services performed, report results of investigations, summarize major design issues, state basic design assumptions, and criteria, make recommendations, and identify GSA or the Tenants decisions that are required. Cost estimates, schedules and operational plans are to be included in the Design Report along with other code compliance issues and requirements. 	Content requirements or report not fully vetted at blocking/stacking phase. Design report metrics will be more efficiently and accurately measured in Concept Design phase.

Page 6

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

24.	pp. 21 – D.6.2 – Context Site Plan	Context Site Plan(s)....Site Analysis information.	<p>After Section D.6.2, Add: "Section D.6.2A – Site Plans. Initial studies/Design explanations shall include a minimum of three concept site design options. The A/E shall facilitate a site design workshop using the FS prepared alternative as a starting point. A/E will solicit review comments from GSA and customer agencies and create a site program as a guide for site design. It is assumed that the preferred site alternative will be superseded by a redesigned Site Plan during Concept Design. The three concept site design options shall be coordinated with the 2012 POR and blocking and stacking diagrams proposed in the programming phase. Concept site design options shall illustrate the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All roads, drives, landscaped areas and building locations. • Connection of exterior programs and access point to building blocking and stacking diagrams. • Site boundaries, approximate topography, setbacks, easements and other known site constraints. • Proposed traffic patterns for pedestrian, RV, noncommercial vehicles, commercial vehicles and bicycles. • Closely coordinated layout, 	See memorandum attached.
-----	---------------------------------------	---	--	--------------------------

Page 7

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

			alignment, geometry, material continuity, and future lane additions of Federal Plaza roadways with MDOT and Federal Highway Administration at connections to the international crossing and Interstate Highway 75.	
25.	pp. 22 – D.6.6 – Cost Estimate – first paragraph	Prepare an overall Include time following	Delete: The cost estimate shall be in Uniformat.”	Reduce required detail for preliminary concept design.
26.	pp. 22 – 23 D.6.7 D.6.8 D.6.10 D.6.11 D.6.12	Reference note sections in their entirety	Delete referenced sections from Preliminary Concept Design Scope D.6. Add the following sections to Section D.8 – final concept design. D.6.7 D.6.8 D.6.10 D.6.11 D.6.12	It is proposed that sections noted for deletion in D.6 will likely not be significant differentiators in preliminary concept design phase. Referenced systems will likely be similar in preliminary concept design options. Scope is not deleted from project but shifted to final concept design phase.
27.	pp. 24 – D.7	Peer Review (#1)	Delete. Referenced section	See Item 16..
28.	pp. 25 – D.9	Peer Review (#2)	Delete. Referenced section	See Item 16.
29.	pp. 26 – D.10	Final Concept Design Presentation	Delete the words “and the Peer” in the first sentence.	See Item 16.
30.	pp. 26 – D.11	Office of Chief Architect/Public Buildings Service Commissioner Approval	Delete. Referenced section	Upon completion of Final Concept Design. Project will be turned over to Crossing Authority for final design and construction procurement in accordance with agreements to be

Page 8

Attachment Page

U.S. General Services Administration
Proposed Task Order GS-P-05-10-GC-5002 Revisions
August 21, 2012

				established by the Crossing Authority and the United States.
--	--	--	--	--

c:\users\sckenp\documents\21114029-00_dtic lproe\june restart documents\20120821 nitc_arch_eng scope of services p oposed revisions.docxjmb

211-029-00
029-01

SUMMARY SUBCONTRACT REPORT

Type of Plan: individual

DUNS #: 058319922

Corporation, Company or Subdivision Covered:

Vendor Name: HAMMEL, GREEN AND ABRAHAMSON, INC.

Vendor Physical Address: 701 WASHINGTON AVE N
MINNEAPOLIS, Minnesota 554011180

Vendor Mailing Address: 701 WASHINGTON AVE NORTH
MINNEAPOLIS, Minnesota 554011180

Date Submitted: May 17, 2011

Contact Information: Ken
Peterson

Reporting Period: Oct 1 - Mar 31

Year: 2011

Agency to which the report is being submitted: GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (4700)

Report Submitted As: prime contractor

Contractor's Major Products or Service Lines:

Product or Service #1: Architectural
Services

i. NAICS Code # 1: click [here](#) for description of naics codes
54131

Product or Service #2: Engineering
Services

i. NAICS Code # 2: click [here](#) for description of naics codes
54133

CUMULATIVE FISCAL YEAR SUBCONTRACT AWARDS

	Whole Dollars	Percent
1a. SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS	5,783	100
1b. LARGE BUSINESS CONCERNS	0	0
1c. TOTAL	5,783	100

	Whole Dollars	Percent
2. SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS (SDB) CONCERNS	0	0
3. WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS (WOSB) CONCERNS	0	0
4. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES (HBCU) AND MINORITY INSTITUTIONS (MI)	0	0
5. HUBZone SMALL BUSINESS (HUBZone SB) CONCERNS	0	0
6. VETERAN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS	5,783	100
7. SERVICE-DISABLED VETERAN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS	0	0
8. ALASKA NATIVE CORPORATIONS (ANCs) AND INDIAN TRIBES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN CERTIFIED BY THE SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION AS SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESSES	0	0
9. ALASKA NATIVE CORPORATIONS (ANCs) AND INDIAN TRIBES THAT ARE NOT SMALL BUSINESSES	0	0

Remarks: The Detroit River International Crossing (or New International Trade Crossing) has been delayed due to waiting for Michigan legislative funding authority and therefore our design efforts have been on hold.

Contractors Official Who Administers Subcontracting Program:

Name: Ken Peterson
Title: Project Manager, Associate Vice President
Phone Number: 612-758-4574

Certification: Yes

Chief Executive Officer(CEO):

Name: Dan Avchen
Title: CEO
Date: May 17, 2011

CEO Approval: Yes

Please enter the email address of the Government judy.parnell@gsa.gov employee(s) and/or other person(s) to be notified that you have submitted this report.:

(b) (6)

CEO Signature: _____